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|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Student Name: | Kai Cui, QiaYue Yu, Yuting Xie, Han Yang | | |
| Module: | Critical Methods (Paper) | | |
| Mark (number): | 53 | Late Penalty: |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Marker Comments**  **Compliance & Presentation**  *Does this assignment follow the requirements?*  *Is the assignment correct in format?*  *Is the assignment presented in a clean fashion?*  *Are concepts from the course applied?*  **Research Quality & Sources**  *What is the academic rigor of this assignment?*  *Does the assignment have adequate sources?*  *Are concepts from the course applied correctly?*  **Writing Quality**  *Does this assignment use proper grammar & punctuation?*  *Is the writing strong, active, and interesting?*  *Are sentences concise and clear?*  *Does the assignment flow through proper transitions?*  **Argument**  *Are the arguments clearly stated?*  *Are the arguments sound and focused?*  *Does the evidence provided support the arguments?*  *Are the arguments conceptually based?*  **Analysis of Method**  *Is the analysis analytical rather than descriptive?*  *Does the analysis utilize appropriate scholarship?*  *How well does the analysis capture the literature?*  *Does the analysis contribute to future research?*  *Are concepts from the course explicated throughout?* | **The assignment is representative of what was asked for in terms of approach, though execution was lacking careful attention and explication.**  **There could be far more integration of research in the rationalization of the method and better expression of the means by which the method is operationalized and conducted.**  **The writing and organization suffer from structure and attention to detail. Please proofread in future to make sure syntax and diction make clear your points and arguments.**  **Rationalization of methods comes through in pieces throughout the essay, but there needs to be more data from the participants to conduct an initial analysis and to determine whether the method is appropriate for this study.**  **More could have been done to articulate the process of the methods. How did you select texts, or how would you, and how does textual analysis work in this case, for example. Deeper analysis of how you did the interviews and integrated the methodological rigor would also provide a critique of the method.** |
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**This module has been moderated**

**Marker: Ted Gutsche** **Moderator: Adrian Mackenzie**

**Date:**  **Date: 29 May 2018**

**If you want to discuss this feedback further with me, please come see me during my office hour or make an appointment.**